

March 18, 2024

Rockville Centre, New York

The Board of Trustees held a Public Meeting on the above date in Village Hall. Mayor Murray opened the meeting at 6:00pm.

PRESENT: Mayor Francis X. Murray, Deputy Mayor Kathy Baxley, Trustees Emilio F. Grillo, Katie Conlon, and Gregory P. Shaughnessy.

ALSO PRESENT: Village Administrator Nancy Howard, Village Attorney A. Thomas Levin, Village Comptroller Dennis Morgan, Deputy Treasurer Fran Graziano, Village Assessor Tom Domanico, and Secretary to the Board Lisa Butler.

PUBLIC ATTENDANCE: 1 person was in attendance.

Village Administrator Nancy Howard opened a Public Hearing for the purpose of considering the proposed FY 2025 Budget. Village Comptroller Morgan presented details of the proposed budget. The Board held a discussion with respect to the proposed FY 2025 Budget. Comments were received from the public. On motion of Trustee Grillo, duly seconded by Deputy Mayor Baxley and unanimously carried, the Board voted to continue the public hearing regarding the proposed FY 2025 Budget to April 1, 2024, at 7:00pm. For details of the comments and discussions from the Board, please refer to the recording on the Village website.

Mayor Murray recessed the Board of Trustees meeting at 6:05 pm, at which time the Board, with Assessor Thomas Domanico present, convened as the Board of Review.

On motion of Deputy Mayor Baxley, duly seconded by Trustee Shaughnessy and unanimously carried, including the concurrence of the Village Assessor, the Board approved the following reductions in assessments:

439 Raymond Street known as Section 36, Block 452, Lot 106 be reduced from \$7,250.00 to \$6,670.00.

73 Park Lane known as Section 36, Block 243, Lot 14, be reduced from \$11,200.00 to \$9,236.00-.

152 Hamilton, known as Section 38, Block 238, Lot 162 be reduced from \$10,713.00 to \$10,587.00-.

39 Warwick Road, known as Section 36, Block 320, Lot 141 be reduced from \$16,606.00 to \$14,964.00-.

26 Melton Drive East, known as Section 54, Block d, Lot 752 be reduced from \$14,461.00 to \$10,846.00-.

March 18, 2024

Rockville Centre, New York

On motion of Trustee Grillo, duly seconded by Trustee Conlon and unanimously carried, including the concurrence of the Village Assessor, the Board of Review determined that the remaining applicants for real property tax assessment reductions failed to provide any information, or provided insufficient information, to permit the Board to make proper determinations that their assessments were illegal, erroneous or unequal, and that by reason thereof the Board of Review declines to adjust those assessment and those applications for adjustments are denied.

There being no further business before the Board of Review, Mayor Murray closed the Board of Review meeting and reconvened the Board of Trustees meeting at 6:10pm.

On motion of Deputy Mayor Baxley, duly seconded by Trustee Conlon and unanimously carried the Board further reserved decision and vote regarding proposed Bill RVC 2024B. A local law to amend the code of the Village of Rockville Centre, in relation to conditions of off-street parking variances or waivers until the April 1, 2024, meeting at 7:00pm.

On motion of Trustee Conlon, duly seconded by Trustee Grillo and unanimously carried, the Board further reserved decision and vote regarding proposed Bill RVC 2024A A local law authorizing a property tax levy in excess of the limits established in General Municipal Law §3-c. until the April 1, 2024, meeting at 7:00pm.

On motion of Trustee Conlon, duly seconded by Trustee Grillo and unanimously carried, the Board adopted the following resolution designating property of the Department of Public Works as surplus.

RESOLUTION DESIGNATING
PROPERTY AS SURPLUS

Whereas, the Village of Rockville Centre (“Village”) heretofore acquired various equipment and property which thereafter has been used for various purposes; and

WHEREAS, such property is no longer needed, or has reached the end of its useful life for the Village, to the extent that it is no longer reasonable or feasible for the Village to continue to own and maintain such property;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees of the Village of Rockville Centre, in regular session duly convened, does hereby declare the following equipment to be surplus, and authorizes and directs the Village Purchasing Department to solicit proposals for the sale of such equipment in its present and existing condition, and location, and without any warranties, express or implied, and submit the same to the Village Administrator:

Item/Model	Serial Number/VIN	Dimensions Height/Width/Weight	Estimated Value
2011 International Rear Loaded	VIN # 1HTWCAAR4BJ389228	Engine Max Force DT 270 H.P.	

Sanitation Truck 7400 Series SBA 4X2		Allison Transmission; Body New Way Magnum 20 y.o. Model 250 M Cab – Low Entry by Fontane; Engine Miss; Needs Cano Shaft; Not started in 1 ½ years	
--	--	--	--

and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees hereby delegates to the Village Administrator the power and authority to designate or select the purchaser, or other disposition, of each such vehicle, equipment or property based upon a determination as to which proposal is in the best interests of the Village and consistent with applicable legal requirements, and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to execute and deliver a bill of sale or such other documentation as may reasonably be required to effectuate the transfer of each such vehicle, equipment or property to its approved purchaser upon payment in full for the purchase price for such vehicle, equipment or property.

On motion of Deputy Mayor Baxley, duly seconded by Trustee Conlon and unanimously carried the Board adopted the following SEQRA Negative Declaration with respect to Iron & 1,4 - Dioxane Treatment Improvements at Plant No. 4.

**Determination Pursuant to New York State
Environmental Quality Review Act
Plant No. 4 – Reeve Road, Iron & 1,4-Dioxane Treatment Improvements**

WHEREAS, the New York State Environmental Conservation Law and the regulations of the Department of Environmental Conservation as contained in 6 NYCRR Part 617 require review of the possible environmental consequences of various actions under consideration by the Board of Trustees prior to the approval or funding of such actions, and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees (“Board”) is considering a project involving the improvement of water treatment facilities at Plant No. 4, Reeve Road, to provide for improved treatment and removal of 1,4-Dioxane, and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees, has reviewed an Environmental Assessment Form for the proposed project, including the funding thereof,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS

RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees hereby finds and concludes that

- A. the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of the proposed action;
- B. the proposed project consists of:
 - a. Construction of new treatment building, approximately 5,200 square feet, to house new treatment equipment;
 - b. New backwash retention structure to accommodate the iron treatment backwash effluent

- c. Installation of four pressure filtration vessels for iron removal, two AOP reactors and four 20,000-pound GAC vessels for 1,4-dioxane removal
 - d. Rehabilitation of existing Well Nos. 4A, 4B, and 4C.
 - e. New sodium hypochlorite treatment systems for oxidation and disinfection
New caustic soda treatment system for pH control. New hydrogen peroxide treatment system for oxidation.
 - f. Upgrade of site drainage drywells.
 - g. Replacement of site perimeter fence and other site improvements.
- C. the proposed project is an Unlisted Action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto;
- D. after consideration of the following factors in respect to the environmental impacts of such proposed action the Board concludes as follows as to each such proposed action and factor:
- i. the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - ii. the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - iii. the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - iv. the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - v. the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - vi. the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - vii. the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - viii. the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - ix. the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - x. the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - xi. the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - xii. the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

- E. the proposed action(s) would not have a significant adverse environmental impact; and
- F. no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action, and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Mayor is authorized and directed to complete and execute Parts 2 and 3 of the Environmental Assessment form consistent with the determinations made herein

On motion by Trustee Shaughnessy, seconded by Trustee Conlon and adopted unanimously, the Board convened in executive session at 6:15 pm to discuss legal advice, pending litigation, and matters leading to hiring a particular entity.

After discussion, on motion by Trustee Shaughnessy, seconded by Deputy Mayor Baxley and adopted unanimously, the Board authorized the Mayor to accept the revised proposal from BFJ Planning for services related to various zoning issues.

The Board met with David Arntsen and Joshua S. Shteierman, from Volz & Vigliotta, to discuss pending litigation matters, and the Board members' concerns and preferences regarding various alternatives in connection with such matters.

The Board took no other action in executive session, and continued discussions for legal advice.

Deputy Mayor Baxley left the meeting at 7:16 pm.

On motion by Trustee Shaughnessy, seconded by Trustee Conlon and adopted unanimously, the Board returned to public session at 7:38 pm.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:39 pm on motion by Trustee Shaughnessy, seconded by Trustee Grillo and adopted unanimously.

Nancy Howard
Village Administrator/
Clerk-Treasurer

NH/lb